

An Objective Metric for Quality Assessment of Color Quantized Images

Mohammed Hassan and Chakravarthy Bhagvati

Department of Computer and Information Sciences, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500046, India.

In this paper we present a novel objective image quality metric for quality assessment of color quantized images. The proposed metric models any color quantization distortion as a combination of three similarities: color similarity, edge similarity and structural similarity. We validate the performance of the proposed metric with an extensive subjective study involving 875 color quantized images and show that the new metric outperforms recent state-of-the-art image quality metrics in the quality assessment of color quantization distortion.

Keywords : Color Quantization, Objective Image Quality Assessment, Subjective Image Quality Assessment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Image quality assessment is an important tool in image processing systems. Image quality assessment methods can be classified into two categories: subjective and objective. The subjective image quality assessment methods are accurate in estimating the visual quality of an image because they are carried out by human subjects but are costly process which requires a large number of observers and takes a significant time. On the other hand the objective image quality assessment methods are computer based methods that can automatically predict the perceived image quality. Hence the objective image quality assessment methods gained more popularity.

Originally, color quantization has been used to satisfy the display hardware constraints that allow a limited number of colors to be displayed simultaneously. Today the original motivation of color quantization has changed due to availability of inexpensive full color displays. However, color quantization is still an important problem in the fields of image processing and computer graphics, it can be used in mobile and hand-held devices where memory is usually small [1], it can be used in low-cost color display and printing devices where only

a small number of colors can be displayed or printed simultaneously [2], it also can be used in lossy compression techniques [3]. Another aspect of importance of color quantization is that the human visual system can't perceive more than 20,000 different colors at any given time [4] while a full color image may contain up to 16 million different colors, this large number of colors makes it difficult to handle a variety of color-based tasks such as computing histograms or other useful statistics.

Many researchers have contributed significant research in the design of objective image quality methods starting from the widely used Mean Square Error (MSE) metric and its correlated Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR). The Weighted Signal to Noise Ratio (WSNR) [5] simulates the human visual system properties by filtering both the reference and distorted images with contrast sensitivity function and then compute the SNR. Miyahara [6] proposed a Picture Quality Scale (PQS) based on three distortion factors: the amount, location and structure of error. The perceptual color fidelity metric (S-CIELAB)[7] is a spatial extension to the CIELAB metric for measuring color reproduction errors of digital images. It simulates the spatial sensitivity of the human visual

image. Our proposed metric models any image distortion as combination of three similarities: color similarity, edge similarity and structural similarity. The performance of the proposed metric was validated with an extensive subjective study involving 875 color quantized images and the results show that the proposed metric outperforms the recent state-of-the-art image quality assessment metrics in terms of prediction accuracy, monotonicity, and consistency. Future work includes using this new application-specific image quality metric to guide the process of color quantization in a color quantization algorithm in order to improve the perceptual quality of the delivered color quantized images.

REFERENCES

1. Xiao Rui, Chip-Hong Chang and T Srikanthan. On the Initialization and Training Methods for Kohonen Self-Organizing Feature Maps in Color Image Quantization, *In the First IEEE International Workshop on Electronic Design, Test and Applications*, pages 321-325, 2002.
2. P Scheunders. A Genetic C-means Clustering Algorithm Applied to Color Image Quantization, *In Pattern Recognition*, 30(6):859-866, June 1997.
3. Luiz Velho, Jonas Gomes, Marcos Vinicius and Rayol Sobreiro. Color Image Quantization by Pairwise Clustering, *In IEEE 10th Brazilian Symposium on Computer Graphics and Image Processing*, pages 203-207, 1997.
4. G Sharma. Digital Color Imaging, *CRC Press*, 1996.
5. Mitsa Theophano and Varkur Krishna Lata. Evaluation of Contrast Sensitivity Functions for the Formulation of Quality Measures Incorporated in Halftoning Algorithms, *In IEEE International Conference on Acoustic, Speech and Signal processing*, pages 301-304, 1993.
6. Makoto Miyahara, Kazunori Kotani and V Ralph Algazi. Objective Picture Quality Scale (PQS) for Image Coding, *In IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 46(9):1215-1226, Sep 1998.
7. Xuemei Zhang and Brian A Wandell. A Spatial Extension of CIELAB for Digital Color Image Reproduction, *In the SID Symposium Technical Digest*, pages 731-734, 1996.
8. Zhou Wang and Alan C Bovik. A Universal Image Quality Index, *In IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 9(3):81-84, 2002.
9. Zhou Wang, Alan C Bovik, Hamid R Sheikh and Eero P Simoncelli. Image Quality Assessment: From Error Measurement to Structural Similarity, *In IEEE Transaction on Image Processing*, 13(4):600-612, 2004.
10. Zhou Wang, Eero P Simoncelli and Alan C Bovik. Multiscale Structural Similarity for Image Quality Assessment, *In 37th IEEE Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers*, pages 1398-1402, 2003.
11. Hamid R Sheikh, Alan C Bovik and Gustavo De Veciana. An Information Fidelity Criterion for Image Quality Assessment using Natural Scene Statistics, *In IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 14(12):2117-2128, 2005.
12. Hamid R Sheikh and Alan C Bovik. Image Information and Visual Quality, *In IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 15(2):430-444, 2006.
13. Aleksandr Shnayderman, Alexander Gusev and Ahmet M Eskicioglu. An SVD-Based Gray-Scale Image Quality Measure for Local and Global Assessment, *In IEEE Transaction on Image Processing*, 15(2):422-429, 2006.
14. Damon M Chandler and Sheila S Hemami. VSNR: A Wavelet based Visual Signal-to-Noise Ratio for Natural Images, *In IEEE Transaction on Image Processing*, 16(9):2284-2298, 2007.
15. Zhou Wang and Qiang Li. Information Content Weighting for Perceptual Image Quality Assessment, *In IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 20(5):1185-1198, 2011.
16. Lin Zhang, Lei Zhang, Xuanqin Mou and Zhang D. FSIM: A Feature Similarity Index for Image Quality Assessment, *In IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 20(8):2378-2386, 2011.
17. M Mahy, L Van Eyckden and A Oosterlinck. Evaluation of Uniform Color Spaces Developed after the Adoption of CIELAB and CIELUV, *In Journal of Color Research and Application*, 19:105-121, 1994.
18. Yang Y. Colour Edge Detection and Segmentation using Vector Analysis, *Master's thesis, Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada*, 1995.
19. Color Quantization Database. Available: [Online] http://dcis.uohyd.ernet.in/~hassan/Color_Quantization_Database.rar
20. Stuart P Lloyd. Least Squares Quantization in

- PCM, *In IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 28(2):129-136, 1982.
21. Paul Heckbert. Color Image Quantization for Frame Buffer Display, *In ACM Siggraph Computer Graphics*, 16(3):297-307, 1982.
 22. Xue-Song Wu. Efficient Statistical Computations for Optimal Color Quantization, *Graphics Gems, 11*, J. Arvo, Ed. New York: Academic, pages 126-133, 1991.
 23. Michael Gervautz and Werner Purgathofer. A simple Method for Color Quantization: Octree Quantization, *In New Trends in Computer Graphics*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, pages 219-231, 1988.
 24. Anthony Dekker. Kohonen Neural Networks for Optimal Colour Quantization, *In Network Computation in Neural Systems*, 5(3):351-367, 1994.
 25. ITU-R. Methodology for the Subjective Assessment of the Quality for Television Pictures, *Recommendation ITU-R BT.500-11*. Geneva, 2002.
 26. Bernard Rosner. Percentage Points for a Generalized ESD Many-Outlier Procedure, *In Technometrics*, 25(2):165-172, 1983.
 27. Van Dijk A M, Martens Jean-Bernard, Watson Andrew B. Quality Assessment of Coded Images using Numerical Category Scaling, *In SPIE Proceedings*, pages 90-101, 1995.
 28. Hamid R Sheikh, Muhammad F Sabir, Alan C Bovik. A Statistical Evaluation of Recent

Full Reference Image Quality Assessment Algorithms, *In IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 15(11):3440-3451, 2006.



Mohammed Hassan received the B.Sc. degree in Mathematics and Computer Sciences from the Al-Ahgaff University, Yemen, in 2002 and the M.Sc. degree in Computer Sciences from Hamdard University, New Delhi, India in 2008. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D degree at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India. His research interests include Color Image Quantization and Image Quality Assessment Methods.



Chakravarthy Bhagvati is a Professor with the Department of Computer and Information Sciences, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India. He received the Ph.D degree from RPI, New York. His areas of interest include Image Processing, Indian Language OCR, Computer Networks and Traffic Modeling.